



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/640,218	08/16/2000	Miroslav Colic	4904-4	3341

7590 12/10/2001

Lance J Lieberman Esq
Cohen Pontani Lieberman & Pavane
551 Fifth Avenue Suite 1210
New York, NY 10176

EXAMINER

WOITACH, JOSEPH T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1632

DATE MAILED: 12/10/2001

2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/640,218	Applicant(s) COLIC, MIROSLAV	
	Examiner Joseph Weitach	Art Unit 1632	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 August 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 1632

DETAILED ACTION

This is an original application filed August 16, 2000, which claims benefit to provisional application 60/149,131, filed August 16, 1999.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-10, drawn to pharmaceutical composition comprising a silica containing solid, classified in class 424, subclass 400, class 106, subclass 287.34, and additional classes/subclasses encompassed by the many other compound which may be added to the composition, for example 536/23.1 for DNA, 435/324 for animal cells, and 530/300 for proteins.
- II. Claim 11, drawn to a method of modifying gene expression by administration of a composition of silica and antioxidant or oxidant, unclassified because there is no specific classification for a general oxidant or antioxidant and encompasses only intended use of specific compounds.
- III. Claim 12, drawn to method of enhancing immunogenicity of biological macromolecule, cells or cell fragments comprising administering a silica containing biological macromolecule, cells or cell fragments, classified in class 424, subclass 93.21, class 514, subclass 44, class 530, subclass 350.

Art Unit: 1632

- IV. Claims 13 and 14, drawn to method of providing sustained deliver of a pharmaceutically active agent comprising by administration of a composition of silica and the pharmaceutical agent; unclassified because there is no specific classification for a general pharmaceutical agent and encompasses only intended use of specific compounds.

In addition, if Group I is elected, a further election of species is required. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Specifically, claims 3-5 lists six alternative compositions for a pharmaceutical composition which contain various components contained in a silica gel or other silicas. For election of species, Applicant must elect one of six species listed: 1) silicas containing encapsulated metals; 2) silicas containing metal complexes; 3) silicas containing proteins; 4) silicas containing DNA; 5) silicas containing whole cells; and 6) silicas containing tissue samples.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-10 are generic to compositions which comprise a silica containing solid.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

Art Unit: 1632

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Groups I and II-IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case gene expression can be modified by administration of antisense

Art Unit: 1632

oligonucleotides (method encompassed by group II), immunogenicity can be enhanced by delivery of an antigen in an adjuvant (method encompassed by group III), and sustained delivery of an agent can be accomplished by use of other depot formulations (method encompassed by group IV). Further, the product of Group I is routinely present in cosmetics for non-pharmaceutical purposes.

Groups II-IV are drawn to different and distinct methods. The methods of groups II-IV each use different compositions and would require different and unique preparations and steps to practice the claimed methods.

The inventions above are independent and distinct, each from the other. They have acquired a separate status in the art as a separate subject for inventive effect and require independent searches. The search for each of the above invention is not co-extensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Further, a reference which would anticipate the invention of any one Group would not necessarily anticipate or make obvious any of the other groups.

For these reasons restriction for examination is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1632

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Woitach, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3732.

If attempts to reach the examine by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Karen M. Hauda, can be reached on (703) 305-6608.

An inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of the application should be directed to the patent analyst Kay Pinkney whose telephone number is (703) 305-3553.

Papers related to this application may be submitted by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center numbers are (703)308-4242 and (703)305-3014.

Joseph T. Woitach

Deborah Crouch

DEBORAH CROUCH
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1800-1630